CHAPTER REVIEW AND SUMMARY

Bureau Name	Board of Veterinary Medicine	Total Rule Count	4
Staff Member		Number of Pages	2
Chapter or Rule	811 IAC Chapter 1	Code Section Authorizing Rule	section 169.5(7)(j)

Provide a summary of what public benefits the Chapter/Rule seeks to accomplish:

The chapter provides the public with information about the organization, headquarters, and meetings of the Board of Veterinary Medicine. It also includes definitions to assist the public in understanding and interpreting the Board's rules.

Are the intended public benefits being achieved?

Yes. The public and regulated community consult the rules often to understand compliance with the rules.

Provide a breakdown of the fees included in the Chapter as well as revenue generated over the previous 5 fiscal years; Include where the funds are deposited:

No fees are imposed by this chapter.

What costs are incurred by the public to comply with the Chapter or Rule?

There are no costs as this chapter does not impose any requirements on the public or regulated community.

What costs are incurred by the bureau or other agencies to implement/enforce the Chapter or Rule?

This chapter does not contain any requirements to enforce—only definitions—so there are no costs incurred by the Board to implement or enforce.

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Explain.			
N/A. See prior answers.			
Are there alternatives that should be considered to achieve the benefit? ☐ YES ☒ NO			
If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.			
N/A. See prior answer.			
Does this Chapter or Rule contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]			
Yes. The Board reviewed this chapter and identified several sections that are redundant of statute.			
RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL – List rule number(s):			
N/A.			
RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION – List rule number(s) and please email an attachment with suggested changes (specific changes can be worked out later):			
811 Iowa Admin. Code 1.1, 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4.			
Are there any rules that you would recommend be codified in statute?			
No.			

METRICS

Total number of rules repealed	0
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	1058-1017=41

REGULATORY ANALYSIS

1. Persons affected by the proposed rule

Classes of persons that will bear the costs of the proposed rule:

There are no costs as this chapter does not impose any requirements on the public or regulated community.

Classes of persons that will benefit from the proposed rule:

The public and regulated community will benefit from the proposed rule as it provides definitions to assist with understanding the Board's rules.

2. Impact of the proposed rule, economic or otherwise, including the nature and amount of all the different kinds of costs that would be incurred

Quantitative description of impact:

There is little, if any, quantitative or qualitative impact from the proposed rule because there are no costs since this chapter does not impose any requirements on the public or regulated community.

Qualitative description of impact:

See prior answer.

3. Costs to the state

Implementation and enforcement costs borne by the agency or any other agency:

This chapter does not contain any requirements to enforce—only definitions—so there are no costs incurred by the Board to implement or enforce.

Anticipated effect on state revenues:

See prior answer.

4. Comparison of the costs and benefits of the proposed rule to the costs and benefits of inaction

Failure to have definitions could lead to increased costs to the Board and State as more staff time will be required to answer questions from the public and regulated community about compliance with the Board's rules.

5. Determination if less costly methods or less intrusive methods exist for achieving the purpose of the proposed rule

There does not appear to be any less costly method because the definitions need to be included in chapter 811 to properly inform the public and regulated community about the Board's requirements.

6. Alternative methods considered by the agency

Description of any alternative methods that were seriously considered by the agency:

N/A. See prior answer.

Reasons why they were rejected in favor of the proposed rule:

N/A. See prior answer.

Small Business Impact

If the rule will have a substantial impact on small business, include a discussion of whether it would be feasible and practicable to do any of the following to reduce the impact of the rule on small business:

- Establish less stringent compliance or reporting requirements in the rule for small business.
- Establish less stringent schedules or deadlines in the rule for compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Consolidate or simplify the rule's compliance or reporting requirements for small business.
- Establish performance standards to replace design or operational standards in the rule for small business.
- Exempt small business from any or all requirements of the rule.

If legal and feasible, how does the rule use a method discussed above to reduce the substantial impact on small business?

There are no costs as this chapter does not impose any requirements on the public or regulated community, so the rule does not have a substantial impact on small businesses.